New Innovations::Evaluations

2018-2019 Course Evaluation by Student - MS3/MS4



Subject Name

Class of ----Rotation: Location Evaluation Dates

Evaluated by	: Eva	luator	Name
		.aato.	

Class of ----

SECTION I: LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

1* The faculty are responsive to student concerns.

Strongly Disagree 1	Disagree 2	Neutral 3	Agree 4	Strongly Agree 5
0	0	0	0	0

2* I was treated in a professional and respectful manner by faculty.

Strongly Disagree 1	Disagree 2	Neutral 3	Agree 4	Strongly Agree 5
0	0	0	0	0

3* I was treated in a professional and respectful manner by residents & staff.

Strongly Disagree 1	Disagree 2	Neutral 3	Agree 4	Strongly Agree 5
0	0	0	0	•

4* Please indicate how often you personally experienced unprofessional behavior, mistreatment or other forms of degradation of the learning environment.

Never	Once	Occasionally	Frequently
0	0	0	0

5 If you personally experienced any instances of mistreatment, or disrespectful or unprofessional behavior during this clerkship, please describe so that we may improve the learning environment.

SECTION II: CLINICAL LEARNING

6* How would you rate the overall quality of the teaching on this clerkship?

Extremely Low	Low	Average	High	Extremely High
0	0	0	0	0

1 of 3 12/28/2018, 1:19 PM

T		. •		
Nev	v Inn	ovation	s::Eva	luations

7*	How would you rate the quality of your experiences in learning clinical skills (e.g., history taking and	
	physical examination)?	

Extremely Low	Low	Average	High	Extremely High
0	0	0	0	0

8* How would you rate the quality of opportunities to evaluate patients independently?

Extremely Lov	v Low	Average	High	Extremely High
•	0	0	0	0

9* How would you rate the quality of opportunities to participate in direct patient care?

Extremely Low	Low	Average	High	Extremely High
©	0	0	0	0

- 10* Were components of your history taking skills observed and critiqued?
- Yes
- No
- 11* Were components of your physical examination skills observed and critiqued (or, for psychiatry, a mental status exam)?
- Yes
- No
- 12* How would you rate the amount of time spent on basic science concepts taught during patient care delivery?

E	ktremely Low	Low	Average	High	Extremely High
	•	0	0	0	0

13* How would you rate the amount of time spent in academically unproductive activities (e.g., "scut work")?

Exti	remely Low	Low	Average	High	Extremely High
	0	0	0	0	0

SECTION III: FEEDBACK

2 of 3 12/28/2018, 1:19 PM

LT 1	r	. •	T 1	
New	Innov	ations	∷Eva	luations

ons::Evaluatio						
		I-point fe		luring th	is rotation ab	pout my performance (good performance or areas of
e Yes	•	,	,			
o No						
	uld yo	ou rate th	e overall	quality	of feedback o	on your performance in this clerkship?
Extremely Low	Lov	v Avera	ge Hig	h Extre	emely High	
0	0) ©	()	0	
SECTIO	N IV	': INTEF	RPROF	ESSIC	NAL AND	SYSTEMS-BASED EXPERIENCES
	-				o work within his clerkship	n the interdisciplinary team (e.g., social workers,
Extremely Low	Lov	v Avera	ge Hig	h Extre	emely High	
Extremely Low	LOV	Aveia			Silicity Flight	
©)	©	
•	•) ©			0	
o 17* I was int	roduc	ced to as	ects of	systems	0	ice including cost effective care, effective use of
o 17* I was int	roduces (i.e	ced to as	pects of s	systems luality im	-based practi	
17* I was interesource	roduces (i.e	ced to asp	pects of s	systems luality im	-based practi	
17* I was into resource Strongly Disagre	roduces (i.e	ced to asp , referral Disagree 2	Neutral 3	systems: uality im Agree 4	-based practinprovement. 4 Strongly Agre	
17* I was into resource Strongly Disagre SECTIO IMPROV	roduces (i.e	ced to asp , referral Disagree 2	Neutral 3	systems: uality im Agree 4	-based practinprovement. 4 Strongly Agre	S, STRENGTHS AND AREAS FOR
17* I was into resource Strongly Disagre SECTIO IMPROV	roduces (i.e	ced to aspar, referral Disagree 2	Neutral 3	systems: uality im Agree 4	-based practinprovement. Strongly Agre	S, STRENGTHS AND AREAS FOR
17* I was into resource Strongly Disagre SECTIO IMPROV	roduces (i.e	ced to aspar, referral Disagree 2	Neutral 3	systems: Juality im Agree 4	-based practinprovement. Strongly Agre CTATIONS	S, STRENGTHS AND AREAS FOR
17* I was into resource Strongly Disagre SECTIO IMPROV	roduces (i.e	ced to aspendent of the control of t	Neutral 3	systems: Juality im Agree 4	-based practinprovement. Strongly Agre CTATIONS	S, STRENGTHS AND AREAS FOR
SECTIO IMPROV 18* Please ra	N V:	ced to asparate to asparate to asparate to asparate 2 CLERIENT e overall Average	Neutral 3 (SHIP)	systems Juality in Agree EXPE	-based practing provement. Strongly Agre CTATIONS Durse/clerkship	S, STRENGTHS AND AREAS FOR ip.
SECTIO IMPROV 18* Please ra	N V:	ced to asparate to asparate to asparate to asparate 2 CLERIENT e overall Average	Neutral 3 (SHIP)	systems Juality in Agree EXPE	-based practinprovement. Strongly Agre CTATIONS Durse/clerkshi Extremely High	S, STRENGTHS AND AREAS FOR ip.
SECTIO IMPROV 18* Please ra	N V:	ced to aspendent of the control of t	Neutral 3 (SHIP quality of ge	EXPEC	-based practinprovement. Strongly Agre CTATIONS Durse/clerkshi Extremely High	S, STRENGTHS AND AREAS FOR ip.

3 of 3 12/28/2018, 1:19 PM